

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Deadline 4 Submission Ref. EA1N 20023073 & EA2 20023074
Date: 13 January 2021 14:34:37

Important:

This email was sent to your old GSI email address. If you wish to continue receiving emails from this sender please update them with your current PLANNINGINSPECTORATE.GOV.UK email address.

Please refer to the PINS Intranet news item (safe link below) for more information about the retiring of GSI email addresses

<https://intranet.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/news/retiring-gsi-email-addresses/>

Dear Examining Authority,

I wish to take issue with Scottish Power Renewables (SPR) submissions that additional onshore projects planned to be connecting to the Grid at Friston should not be included in their Cumulative Impact Assessment.

The Examining Authorities must uphold their undertaking to take all additional projects into account by:-

1. Asking SPR to undertake a full Cumulative Impact Assessment of all known projects and
2. Undertaking a rigorous examination of this Cumulative Impact Assessment.

The Assessments must include the eight further projects listed in Appendix One of SASES Response to ISH2 Action points. These include the Nautilus and Eurolink Interconnectors and the Galloper extension which have been confirmed in print by National Grid and SPR..

East Suffolk Council have stated that "The Council maintains that the National Grid substation proposed by EA1N and EA2 is being considered as a strategic connection point for multiple projects". It is still imperative that National Grid attend the Hearings and explain their planned expansion of the Friston site.

The impacts of all these other proposed projects must be included in the Examination as required under the National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1). In addition to the plans for many more substations the Examination must consider all the additional cable corridors that would be required and their impact on all local communities both because of their size and also the long term involved in construction which could affect residents, business and the holiday industry in a large area of East Suffolk for 10 years or more.

Finally SPR and National Grid must be required to examine alternative "brown field" sites , such as Bradwell proposed by Therese Coffey, where there is space to develop the large industrial site that is clearly National Grid's objective.

Yours faithfully,

Tony Morley